

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_112295
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbial, Physicochemical and Shelf-life Evaluation of Stored Sugarcane Jaggery in Different Packaging Materials
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes, it is better, but the results of the tests were written in summary and better compared with similar works No, the subject is suggested Assessment of Microbial, Physicochemical, and Shelf-life of Sugarcane Jaggery Stored in Various Types of Packaging Materials</p> <p>It is better to express more comprehensive objectives in the abstract</p> <p>The results of the tests are summarized Yes</p> <p>Considering the scientific value of the work, more references should have been used</p>	<p>Agreed, title changed</p> <p>Agreed and Corrected</p> <p>All important references have already been included, however, few more are added</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>-The results of the tests should be stated more comprehensively -If they are compared with the current research on the subject previously worked on -More resources should be used to strengthen the research done</p>	<p>Corrected as per the advise</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No ethical issues are involved